BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT AT CHRISTCHURCH I TE KŌTI TAIAO O AOTEAROA ŌTAUTAHI ROHE

ENV-2018-CHC-54

IN THE MATTER

of an appeal under Clause 14 of the First Schedule of the

Resource Management Act 1991

BETWEEN

GIBBSTON VALLEY STATION LIMITED

Appellant

AND

QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT COUNCIL

Respondent

NOTICE BY THE OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL OF PERSON'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

ection 274, Resource Management Act 1991 Dated 6 July 2018

ROSS DOWLING MARQUET GRIFFIN SOLICITORS DUNEDIN

Solicitor: A J Logan

Telephone:

(03) 477 8046

Facsimile:

(03) 477 6998

PO Box 1144, DX YP80015

NOTICE OF PERSON'S WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS Section 274, Resource Management Act 1991

- To The Registrar
 Environment Court
 Christchurch
- The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL**, wishes to be a party to the following proceedings:
 - 1.1 The appeal dated 5 June 2018 by Gibbston Valley Station Limited from the Queenstown Lakes District Council's decisions on the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan ("PDP").
- 2 The OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL is:
 - 2.1 A local authority.
 - 2.2 A person who made a submission on the Chapter 3 Strategic Direction, Chapter 4 Urban Development, Chapter 6 Landscapes and Rural Character, Chapter 21 Rural Zone, and Chapter 28 Natural Hazards of the PDP.
- 3 The OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 4 The OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL is interested in all of the proceedings.
- Without derogating for the general above, the **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** is particularly interested in the provisions listed relating to new zoning.
- The OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL conditionally opposes the relief sought because—
 - 6.1 It is unclear how the proposal will give effect to the Operative Regional Policy Statement and the settled provisions of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement.

The proposal results in urban development in a location and in a manner which is contrary to the objectives and policies of the Operative Regional Policy Statement, the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement and the PDP.

6.3 The site is subject to a flooding hazard and it is unclear how the proposal gives effect to the natural hazard objectives and policies of the Regional Policy Statement, the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement and the PDP.

6.4 The proposal is contrary to the landscape objectives and policies of the Operative Regional Policy Statement, the settled provisions of the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement and the objectives and policies of the PDP.

6.5 Granting the relief sought by the appellant would not achieve the key objectives and policies of Chapters 3, 4, 6 and 23 of the PDP.

6.6 The relief sought does not promote sustainable management and is contrary to Part 2 of the Act.

7 The **OTAGO REGIONAL COUNCIL** agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

A J Logan

Solicitor for the Otago Regional Council

Date: 6 July 2018

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Ross Dowling Marquet Griffin

Solicitors

50 Princes Street (PO Box 1144 or DX YP80015)

Dunedin

Telephone:

(03) 951 2363

Fax:

(03) 477 6998

Contact person:

A J Logan

Email:

alastair.logan@rossdowling.co.nz