

IN THE MATTER of the Resource
Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Lakes
Proposed District Plan

AND

IN THE MATTER of Hearing Stream 3:
Chapter 32 Protected Trees

MINUTE SEEKING CLARIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED DELETIONS

1. Submission 383 lodged by the Council in its corporate capacity sought that a number of protected trees be deleted from the Planning Maps for various reasons. These were discussed in a general sense in paragraph 10.1 of the s.42A Report presented by Ms Law, with recommendations that those amendments be accepted.
2. In examining the submission in detail, it appears that in some cases the Council has sought the deletion of one or more trees from a group of trees. In some of these cases, it also appears that some trees will remain, with the same Protected Tree Number as those deleted, and that they remain listed in the Schedule.
3. It is not always clear whether the deletion sought on the Planning Maps also requires a deletion in the Schedule, or whether the fact that one or more trees in a group remains listed in the Schedule means the symbol(s) on the Maps need not be deleted.
4. We seek clarification on the following items:

Tree Number	Issue
2	The submission seeks the deletion of 2 trees, both Eucalyptus cinerea. The Schedule lists 6 trees under this number. Eight symbols are shown on the lake Hawea foreshore as Tree 2. Which symbols are to be removed?
148	The submission seeks the deletion of 2 trees with this number: 1 x Acer psuedoplatanus & 1 x Chamaecyparis lawsoniana. The Schedule lists 3 trees with this number: 1 x Ulmus procera, 1 x Fraxinus excelsior & 1 x Acer psuedoplatanus. Map 36 shows 3 tree symbols numbered 148. Which are to be removed?

198	The submission seeks the deletion of a <i>Cedrus deodara</i> on the basis that it has been removed. The Schedule lists three trees of that species under this number. The submission suggests it is shown on Map 35, but it is not obvious. Please identify where it is and whether all three are to be removed, or no amendment to the Schedule or Maps is required.
150	The submission seeks the deletion of a two <i>Sorbus acuparia</i> (points 152 & 160). The Schedule also lists a single <i>Sorbus acuparia</i> under this number. On Map 36 there are three symbols for this number. Which symbols are to be removed? Does the Schedule remain unaltered? Point 160 refers to Map 12. Is that reference in error?
11	The submission seeks the deletion of an <i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i> from Map 35. The Schedule lists under this number an <i>Ulmus glabra</i> 'horizontalis'. Tree 11 is not obvious on Map 35. Please identify where it is and what is to be removed.
181	The submission seeks the deletion of a <i>Juglans regia</i> . The Schedule lists a <i>Juglans regia</i> under this number. It appears there is only 1 symbol in relation to 181. Is the Schedule to be changed or the Map remain unchanged?
163	The submission seeks the deletion of 9 <i>Poplars</i> under this number. The Schedule contains a single poplar under the same number. Is the intention that a single symbol remain & a single tree remain protected?

5. It would assist us if we could have these matters clarified by the end of March.

For the Hearing Panel



Denis Nugent (Chair)

22 March 2017