IN THE MATTER of the Resource

Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Lakes

Proposed District Plan

MINUTE CONCERNING ANNOTATIONS ON MAPS RESPONSE TO COUNCIL MEMORANDUM DATED 30 JUNE 2017

- 1. The Hearing Panel has considered the memorandum filed on behalf of the Council setting out how it will approach the remainder of the Stage 1 hearings. We thank the Council for the careful analysis of the nature of various provisions and submissions and how it considers they should be dealt with.
- 2. We have considered the categorisation of provisions and submissions set out in paragraph 11 of counsel's memorandum and agree that is an appropriate way to approach the issues raised.
- 3. In terms of Categories A and B, our approach is to:
 - a) Refer to areas of the District rather than zones not included in Stage 1;
 - b) Treat amendments sought to zones not included in Stage 1 (or ODP zones) as out of scope; and
 - c) Not amend district-wide provisions to specifically refer to (whether applying or excluding rules) to zones not included in Stage 1.
- 4. The issues raised by Category C (paragraphs 12.6 to 12.9 inclusive) are different. As the rules in Chapters 26 and 32 had immediate effect on notification, and each of those chapters contains a discrete set of rules which apply to the listed items irrespective of the zone within which they are located, we conclude those provisions should be treated in total as being part of Stage 1, except for those items within the area of ODP Plan Change 50 which were specifically withdrawn from the PDP. However, we do consider that there is the potential for persons to have not understood that the opportunity to submit on items shown on land not zoned by a Stage 1 zone occurred in 2015. We would recommend that when subsequent zones are brought into the PDP in later stages, any heritage items or protected trees within those zones should be renotified to remove any such doubt.
- 5. Counsel's comments in paragraph 14 reflect the approach taken by us in preparing our recommendation reports. We note that the National Grid is shown on the

Planning Maps for information purposes and the notation has no regulatory effect. We doubt, therefore, that it would serve any particular purpose to renotify that notation where it crosses land to be zoned in Stages 2-4, but accept that it would be consistent to do so.

For the Hearing Panel

Augent

Denis Nugent (Chair)

21 July 2017