

IN THE MATTER of the Resource
Management Act 1991

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Lakes
Proposed District Plan

AND

IN THE MATTER of the Queenstown Mapping
Hearings (Stream 13)

MINUTE CONCERNING EVIDENCE LODGEMENT EXTENSION
SOUGHT BY SKYLINE ENTERPRISES LIMITED
(Submissions #556, #574, #1236 & #1238)

1. The Hearing Panel has received a request from counsel for Skyline Enterprises Limited (“Skyline”) for an extension of 3 working days for the lodgement of evidence for Mapping Hearing Stream 13.
2. Counsel for Skyline has explained that planning, economic and landscape expert witnesses Skyline intends to call in our hearing are due to appear in Environment Court proceedings in the week commencing 22 May 2017 and lasting for one week, with a possibility of that proceeding extending into the following week. The Council is due to lodge and circulate its s.42A reports and other evidence on Thursday 25 May 2017. Counsel notes that Skyline’s witnesses would be unable to consider the Council’s material and prepare responses on it until the conclusion of the Environment Court proceedings. Mr Todd suggests an extension of 3 working days would be appropriate to accommodate those witnesses, and that Skyline would have no objection to the Council being allowed the same extension to lodge its rebuttal evidence.
3. I accept that commitments in the Environment Court would limit witnesses’ ability to review and respond to the Council’s material. However, if the Environment Court proceedings ended on 26 May 2017 as scheduled, those witnesses would have adequate time to undertake the work required. If those proceedings did extend into the following week by more than a minimal amount, then I am satisfied that an extension of up to 3 working days would be appropriate.
4. I extend the time that Skyline’s planning, economic and landscape evidence is to be lodged to Wednesday 14 June 2017, but only in the circumstances that the

Environment Court proceedings scheduled to be heard in the week commencing 22 May 2017 extend by more than 1 day into the following week.

5. If Skyline does utilise this extension, then the Council or any submitter in opposition to Skyline may request an extension of time to lodge rebuttal evidence in relation to Skyline's evidence. Such a request should be made after Skyline's evidence has been lodged.

For the Hearing Panel

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Nugent', is written in a cursive style.

Denis Nugent (Chair)

5 May 2017