

Before the Queenstown Lakes District Council

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act 1991

And

In the Matter of the Queenstown Lakes Proposed District Plan

And

In the Matter of the Hearing of Submissions Seeking Amendments to the
Planning Maps relating to the Upper Clutha Area

**Memorandum of Counsel for Queenstown
Airport Corporation Limited (Submitter
433 and Further Submitter 1340)**

Dated: 31 January 2017

QUE912172 5503650.1

lane neave.

Level 1, 2 Memorial Street

PO Box 701

Queenstown

Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt

Phone: 03 409 0321

Fax: 03 409 0322

Email:

rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

MAY IT PLEASE THE PANEL

1. This memorandum is filed in relation to the timetable for the hearing of submissions seeking amendments to the planning maps relating to the Upper Clutha Area.
2. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) lodged further submissions in relation to submissions by the Ross and Judith Young Family Trust (Submitter 704), Jeremy Bell Investments (Submitter 782) and Lake McKay Station (Submitter 484). These submitters separately seek rezoning of land in proximity to Wanaka Airport.
3. QAC has been allocated time on Wednesday 14 June 2017 for its further submissions to be heard (10am – 3.30pm).¹
4. Unfortunately this hearing time slot conflicts with an Environment Court fixture for the hearing of appeals on the Proposed Otago Regional Policy Statement (**PRPS**).
5. The hearing of these appeals (there are 25) is scheduled for the two weeks commencing 12 and 19 June 2017.
6. QAC is an appellant and a section 274 party to various PRPS appeals.
7. Counsel is acting for QAC and it is likely that the QAC's planning witness will also be involved in the hearing of the PRPS appeals.
8. Accordingly, QAC respectfully requests that its further submissions in relation to the submissions identified at paragraph 2 above be heard sometime other than in the week commencing 12 June 2017.

¹It is noted that the Judith and Ross Young Family Trust has not been allocated any hearing time, and QAC assumes that the Trust does not wish to be heard on its submission.

9. QAC is mindful that accommodating its request may require some juggling of the Upper Clutha hearings schedule, and it is therefore generally flexible as to an alternative date.



R Wolt

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited