

## **Appendix 2. List of Submission Points with Recommended Decision**

**Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 11 – Large Lot Residential**

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
3.2		Alistair Munro		Oppose	Rezoning the thin strip of Rural General land with a Building Restriction Overlay, as shown on Planning Map 20, located between Lots 3, 4 and 5 DP300734 and Peak View Ridge, to Large Lot Residential.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1285.2	Nic Blennerhassett		Support	Supports the submitter's request and agrees that along with the adjacent LLR zoned areas this solution will maintain a 'green belt' between current and future LDR zones.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1307.2	The Agamemnon Trust		Oppose	the Trust seeks to have the submission disallowed by Council		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1311.2	Crescent Investments Limited		Oppose	That the submission of Alistair Munro and the proposed removal of the building restriction area and rezoning of the land from Rural to Large Lot Residential is rejected in its entirety.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1326.2	Kirimoko Park Residents Association Inc.		Oppose	Opposes. Seeks that the submission of Alistair Munro and the proposed removal of the building restriction area and rezoning of the land from Rural to Large Lot Residential is rejected in its entirety.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1334.2	Otto Dogterom		Support	The submission be allowed		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.2	FS1335.2	Patricia and Barry Andrews		Support	The submission be allowed		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4		Alistair Munro		Support	Approve the proposed Large Lot Residential zone to the north of Studholme Road shown in Maps 22 and 23.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4	FS1012.2	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4	FS1311.4	Crescent Investments Limited		Oppose	That the submission of Alistair Munro and the proposed removal of the building restriction area and rezoning of the land from Rural to Large Lot Residential is rejected in its entirety.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4	FS1326.4	Kirimoko Park Residents Association Inc.		Oppose	Opposes. Seeks that the submission of Alistair Munro and the proposed removal of the building restriction area and rezoning of the land from Rural to Large Lot Residential is rejected in its entirety.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4	FS1334.4	Otto Dogterom		Support	The submission be allowed		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
3.4	FS1335.4	Patricia and Barry Andrews		Support	The submission be allowed		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
47.2		Peter Bullen		Support	Confirm the Large Lot Residential Zone and zoning as shown on Planning Map 22.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
47.2	FS1012.14	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
47.3		Peter Bullen		Support	Confirm the Large Lot Residential Zone and zoning as shown on Planning Map 22.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
47.3	FS1012.15	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
50.1		Terry Drayton		Oppose	refer to point 9.3 -		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
65.7		John Blennerhassett		Support	Adopt rezoning of land between Meadowstone Drive and Studholme Road as shown on Maps 22 to Large Lot Residential and Low Density Residential.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
65.7	FS1012.11	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
69.1		Terence Hetherington		Support	Supports the proposed changes to large lot residential. That section 11 changes to the district plan be accepted.	Accept in Part		See entire s42A report
78.5		Jennie Blennerhassett		Support	Adopt rezoning of land between Meadowstone Drive and Studholme Road as shown on Maps 22 & 23.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
78.5	FS1012.25	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
87.7		Shelley McMeeken		Support	Adopt rezoning of land between Meadowstone Drive and Studholme Road as shown on Planning Maps 22 & 23.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
87.7	FS1012.32	Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
111.3		Iain Weir		Support	Approve the change from Rural Lifestyle to Low Density Residential at 28C Studholme Road but keep the existing Visitor Accommodation subzone in place.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
113.2		Neil Matchett		Support	Confirm the land west of Far Horizons be confirmed as Large Lot Residential and that this area be within the Urban Growth Boundary as notified in the Proposed District Plan.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
117.5		Maggie Lawton	11.2.1.2	Other	Clarify the intention for the zones and adhere to them.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
142.1		as trustees of the Anzac Trust		Other	Submitter owns property at 361 Beacon Point Road. Part of this land is zoned as LLR with the remainder zoned rural with a building restriction. The area of the LLR zone land is less than 4000m2 and would prevent a two lot subdivision. Requests that the area to be zoned LLR should be altered as shown on the maps attached to the submission so that a two lot subdivision (each with one residence) would be a permitted activity.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	

Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 11 – Large Lot Residential

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
249.25		Willowridge Developments Limited		Oppose	The Large Lot Residential boundary at Studholme Road/West Meadows Drive should be amended as per Attachment 2 of the submission.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
249.25	FS1193.2	Trustees of the Gordon Family Trust		Oppose	The proposed rezoning, and the proposed amendment to the Wanaka Urban Growth Boundary are not suitable to achieve the sustainable management of the land. We seek that all of the relief sought be declined.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
253.2		Wanaka Lakes Health Centre		Oppose	That the zoning of the Wanaka Lake Health Centre (Lot 1 DP 410739) as shown on Map 23 be amended from Large Lot Residential to Local Shopping Centre. The health centre is not to be used for Large Lot Residential. Considers the most appropriate zone for the health centre site would be to extend the proposed Local Shopping Centre Zone northwards to cover the site and perhaps the hospital site to the north.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
253.2	FS1101.2	Aspiring Lifestyle Retirement Village		Support	The Local Shopping Centre zone better reflects the usage of the Wanaka Lakes Health Centre and the Aspiring Enliven Care Centre than the proposed Large Lot Residential.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
322.1		Murray Stewart Blennerhassett		Support	To allow existing lots in this area, as well as planned subdivisions, to be allowed down to the lower limit of the proposed LLR zoning (2000 m2) either immediately or perhaps deferred for 7 or 10 years as with the first stages of the NorthLake subdivision. That services provision should be anticipated to a eventual LDR zoning density, the rezoning of which itself should be anticipated for the next District Plan Review.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1110.3	John Coe		Support	Those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1126.3	Anna Mills		Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1140.3	Jo Mills		Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1198.3	Myffie James		Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1207.3	Bridget Mary Rennie		Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
322.1	FS1332.3	Nick Mills		Support	That parts submissions that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in large lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
335.17		Nic Blennerhassett		Support	Support the Large Lot Residential zoning shown on maps 22 and 23.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
391.11		Sean & Jane McLeod		Oppose	That any land zoned for large lot residential be changed to low density residential		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
391.11	FS1111.7	Colin Mantel		Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
502.17		Allenby Farms Limited		Not Stated	Amend Chapter 11 Large Lot Residential by adding the provision detailed in Appendix 6 attached to this submission.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
687.2		Lynden Cleugh		Other	Adopt the submission by Land & Infrastructure Management Ltd (LIM) which seeks an increased density within the Large Lot Residential Zone.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
687.2	FS1111.2	Colin Mantel		Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
687.2	FS1207.5	Bridget Mary Rennie		Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
709.2		Aspiring Lifestyle Retirement Village		Oppose	Add a new Objective 11. 2.3 as follows: <u>77.2.3 Objective - Manage the development of land within noise affected environments to ensure mitigation of noise and reverse sensitivitv effects.</u>		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
709.2	FS1111.9	Colin Mantel		Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
709.2	FS1207.7	Bridget Mary Rennie		Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
709.2	FS1212.2	Wanaka Lakes Health Centre		Support	The Local Shopping Centre zone better reflects the usage of the Wanaka Lakes Health Centre and the Aspiring Enliven Care Centre than the proposed Large Lot Residential.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
166.4		Aurum Survey Consultants	11.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Review density of the zone. Questions how this zone is any different to rural residential? Large lot res needs to have a point of difference so a density of one dwelling per 2000m <sup>2</sup> across the entire zone would make more sense.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1110.1	John Coe	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1

Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 11 – Large Lot Residential

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
166.4	FS1111.5	Colin Mantel	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1126.1	Anna Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1140.1	Jo Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1198.1	Myffie James	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1207.1	Bridget Mary Rennie	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.4	FS1332.1	Nick Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	That parts submissions that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in large lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28		Queenstown Lakes District Council	11.1 Zone Purpose	Other	Add the following sentence to the end of the third paragraph: <i>“Given that the zone is located within the Urban Growth Boundary, the possibility exists that the zoning may be changed in the future to accommodate a higher density of development than currently contemplated.”</i>	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28	FS1110.6	John Coe	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28	FS1126.6	Anna Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28	FS1140.6	Jo Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28	FS1198.6	Myffie James	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
383.28	FS1332.6	Nick Mills	11.1 Zone Purpose	Support	That parts submissions that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in large lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
719.76		NZ Transport Agency	11.2 Objectives and Policies	Not Stated	Add a policy to 7.2.10 Objective as follows: 11.2.3.1 <u>All new and altered buildings for residential and other noise sensitive activities (including community uses) located within the State highway noise effects area shall be designed to meet internal sound levels of AS/NZ 2707:2000.</u>	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 3
719.77		NZ Transport Agency	11.2 Objectives and Policies	Not Stated	Add a new Rule 11.5.4.1 as follows: 11.5.4.1 <u>For buildings located adjacent to a State highway. Any new residential buildings, or buildings containing activities sensitive to road noise, located within: 80 metres of the seal edge of a State Highway that has a speed limit of 70km/h and greater, or 40 metres of the seal edge of a State Highway that has a speed limit of less than 70 km/h.</u>  <u>Shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure that the internal noise levels do not exceed 35 dB LAeq(7 hr) inside bedrooms or 40 dB LAeqC7 hr) inside other habitable spaces in accordance with AS/NZ2 707:2000.</u>	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 3
383.29		Queenstown Lakes District Council	11.4 Rules – Activities	Other	Amend to delete “residential flat”	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 4
293.4		Murray Fraser	11.4.2	Support	The ability to establish dwellings, residential units and residential flats as a permitted activity will eliminate unnecessary costs (time and money) for the developer and Council.	Accept		See entire s42A report
438.23		New Zealand Fire Service	11.4.9	Support	Retain as notified.	Accept		See entire s42A report
438.24		New Zealand Fire Service	11.5.1	Other	The NZFS wishes to exempt drying towers from this rule. Amend to state: <u>Exemption: Fire station towers are exempt from this rule</u>	Reject		These are appropriate matters for assessment as part of a resource consent process
335.19		Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.1.2	Support	Supports the height limit.	Accept		See entire s42A report
293.2		Murray Fraser	11.5.2	Oppose	Seek that Rule 11.5.2 which relates to maximum building coverage be amended to 30% to reflect the requested reduction to the minimum lot size for the Large Lot Residential zone.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 2
438.25		New Zealand Fire Service	11.5.2	Not Stated	The NZFS wishes to exempt fire stations from this rule. Amend to state: <u>Exemption: Fire stations are exempt from this rule</u>	Reject		These are appropriate matters for assessment as part of a resource consent process
812.2		Land & Infrastructure Management Limited	11.5.2	Oppose	Building coverage should be amended to 30%.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 2
117.17		Maggie Lawton	11.5.3	Other	Clarify the intention for the zones and adhere to them.	Reject		Restricted discretionary activity status prescribes the matters of discretion for reduced setbacks via resource consent.

Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 11 – Large Lot Residential

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
142.3		as trustees of the Anzac Trust	11.5.3	Support	Submitter owns property at 361 Beacon Point Road. Part of this land is zoned as LLR with the remainder zoned rural with a building restriction. Notes that the existing residence has a building height restriction of RL288.50 mean sea level (datum code DUNEHT 1958). Due to site instability issues, submitter notes that a building height limit "off the ground" would leave uncertainty as to the built height of any new residence. Requests that the building height limit should be set at a specified RL, and the building height limit for any new residence should be RL288.50 mean sea level (datum code DUNEHT 1958).	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 2
335.20		Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.3	Support	Support the reduced setback of 4m.	Accept		See entire s42A report
719.78		NZ Transport Agency	11.5.4	Not Stated	Add a new Rule 11.5.4.1 as follows: 11. 5. 4.1 For buildings located adjacent to a State highway. Any new residential buildings, or buildings containing activities sensitive to road noise, located within: 80 metres of the seal edge of a State Highway that has a speed limit of 70km/h and greater. or . 40 metres of the seal edge of a State Highway that has a speed limit of less than 70 km/h. Shall be designed, constructed and maintained to ensure that the internal noise levels do not exceed 35 dB LAeq(7 hr) inside bedrooms or 40 dB LAeqC7 hr) inside other habitable spaces in accordance with AS/NZ2 707:2000.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 3
810.33		Te Runanga o Moeraki, Kati Huirapa Runaka ki Puketeraki, Te Runanga o Otakou and Hokonui Runanga collectively Manawhenua	11.5.5	Not Stated	Setback of buildings from water bodies: Add a further matter of discretion: Manawhenua values.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 2
335.22		Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.6	Oppose	The continuous building length of 20m above one storey (11.5.6) is unduly permissive and should be reconsidered.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 2
9.3		Terry Drayon	11.5.9	Oppose	Zone the land along Studholme Road as rural residential with a minimum lot size of 4000msq not 2000msq and introduce a greenbelt the length of studhome rd on both sides before any further compromise is made on the nature of this unique rural area. Also to extend this greenbelt along Orchard Rd		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
9.3	FS1012.4	Willowridge Developments Limited	11.5.9	Oppose	That the submission to rezone land around Studholme Road as Rural Residential is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
9.3	FS1285.3	Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.9	Oppose	Opposes to the submitter's request and suggests that the minimum lot size for LLR throughout the district should be 2000 m <sup>2</sup> , not 4000 m <sup>2</sup> because the RR zone already caters for the larger lot size.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
15.1		John Blennerhassett	11.5.9	Other	The density of 2000msq allotment sizes along Studholme Road a permitted activity.	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 1
74.5		QLDC rates payer	11.5.9	Support	Confirm Rule 27.5.1 as it relates to the 2000m2 minimum lot area for land between Studholme Road and Meadowstone Drive, Large Lot Residential Zone as shown on Planning map 18.	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 1
74.5	FS1012.20	Willowridge Developments Limited	11.5.9	Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
78.4		Jennie Blennerhassett	11.5.9	Support	Confirm Rule 27.5.1 as it relates to the 2000m2 minimum lot area for land between Studholme Road and Meadowstone Drive in the Large Lot Residential Zone.	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 1
78.4	FS1012.24	Willowridge Developments Limited	11.5.9	Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
87.4		Shelley McMeeken	11.5.9	Support	Adopt Rule 27.5.1 as it relates to the 2000m2 minimum lot area for land between Studholme Road and Meadowstone Drive.	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 1
87.4	FS1012.29	Willowridge Developments Limited	11.5.9	Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
87.5		Shelley McMeeken	11.5.9	Support	Confirm Rule 27.5.1 as it relates to the 2000m2 minimum lot area for land between Studholme Road and Meadowstone Drive, in the Large Lot Residential Zone.	Accept		Refer Issue Reference 1
87.5	FS1012.30	Willowridge Developments Limited	11.5.9	Oppose	That the submission to approve the proposed large lot residential land to the north of Studholme Road is disallowed insofar as it relates to Willowridge Developments Limited land [submission 249.17]	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3		Murray Fraser	11.5.9	Oppose	Seek the minimum lot size within the Large Lot Residential Zone is reduced from 4000m <sup>2</sup> to 2000m <sup>2</sup> as 4000m <sup>2</sup> is too restrictive and is the same as the minimum lot size for the Rural Residential zone. The creation of the Large Lot Residential Zone provides the opportunity to transition from Rural Residential to Low Density Residential. This would provide for the community's economic and cultural well being.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1110.2	John Coe	11.5.9	Support	Those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1111.4	Colin Mantel	11.5.9	Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1126.2	Anna Mills	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1140.2	Jo Mills	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1198.2	Myffie James	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1

Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 11 – Large Lot Residential

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
293.3	FS1207.2	Bridget Mary Rennie	11.5.9	Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
293.3	FS1332.2	Nick Mills	11.5.9	Support	That parts submissions that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in large lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
299.1		Permanent Wanaka resident 32yrs	11.5.9	Other	That the large lot residential sections in Aubrey Road and in close proximity to Anderson Road be allowed for increased density but restricting the number of dwellings on a 4000+sq metre section to two only with the maximum building platform of both dwellings combined not to exceed 1000sq metres.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
335.16		Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.9	Oppose	Oppose the 4000m <sup>2</sup> and make the minimum lot size 2000m <sup>2</sup> .	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1		Land & Infrastructure Management Limited	11.5.9	Not Stated	Seek a reduction of the minimum lot size within the Large Lot Residential Zone from 4000m2 to 2000m2.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1110.5	John Coe	11.5.9	Support	Those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1111.1	Colin Mantel	11.5.9	Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1126.5	Anna Mills	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1140.5	Jo Mills	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1198.5	Myffie James	11.5.9	Support	Seeks that those parts of the submission that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in Large Lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1207.6	Bridget Mary Rennie	11.5.9	Support	States that land is less than 1km from Town Centre, therefore can no longer be regarded Rural. Believes that 4000sqm is too large to consider due to the expensive up keep. Suggests that there could be a different Rural residential (4000m2) and a large lot (2000m2) with enough space to plant trees and be away from neighborhoods, in order to maintain tranquility and birdlife.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
812.1	FS1332.5	Nick Mills	11.5.9	Support	That parts submissions that support reducing the minimum lot sizes in large lot Residential from 4,000m2 to 2,000m2 be allowed	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
117.18		Maggie Lawton	11.5.10	Support	Clarify the intention for the zones and adhere to them.	Reject		Refer Issue Reference 1
335.21		Nic Blennerhassett	11.5.11	Support	Supports the recession plane rule.	Accept		See entire s42A report
293.1		Murray Fraser	27.5 Rules - Standards for Subdivision Activities	Oppose	Seek the minimum lot size within the Large Lot Residential Zone is reduced from 4000m <sup>2</sup> to 2000m <sup>2</sup> as 4000m <sup>2</sup> is too restrictive and is the same as the minimum lot size for the Rural Residential zone. The creation of the Large Lot Residential Zone provides the opportunity to transition from Rural Residential to Low Density Residential. This would provide for the community's economic and cultural well being.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.10		Aurum Survey Consultants	27.5.1	Oppose	Amend the minimum lot sizes: High Density - no minimum Low Density Residential - 300m <sup>2</sup> Large Lot Residential - 2000m <sup>2</sup> across the zone Rural Lifestyle - reject capping average calculations at 4 hectares.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
166.10	FS1111.6	Colin Mantel	27.5.1	Support	That changes to the District Plan that allow reduction of minimum lot size from 4000sqm to 2000sqm for Large Lot Residential sites be strongly supported.	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1
335.30		Nic Blennerhassett	27.5.1	Other	Make the minimum allotment size in the Large Lot Residential zone 2000m <sup>2</sup> .	Accept in Part		Refer Issue Reference 1