

Appendix 2. List of Submitters and Recommended Decisions

Appendix 2 to the Section 42A report for Chapter 10 - Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone

Original Point Number	Further Submission No	Submitter	Lowest Clause	Submitter Position	Submission Summary	Planner Recommendation	Transferred	Issue Reference
19.20		Kain Fround		Support	Supports the provisions.	Accept		
199.19		Craig Douglas		Support	Supports the provision.	Accept		
255.7		N.W. & C.E. BEGGS		Support	Fully support Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone. All details under the proposed District Plan for the Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone are adopted.	Accept		
261.1		June Watson		Oppose	Retention of the status quo. Opposes the medium density residential zone in Arrowtown.		Transferred to Hearing Stream Residential	The ARHMZ is not a medium density zone. Submission point transferred to Medium Density Chapter
267.1		Mark Smith		Other	Arrowtown not being seen as a convenient place to add extra residents to the Queenstown-Lakes district, rather the QLLC seeking to preserve the unique character of Arrowtown within the Queenstown-Lakes district. Greater weight being given to the residents of Arrowtown and their elected representative in decisions that affect Arrowtown.	Accept		I consider the rule framework is conservative enough to maintain the character and values of the zone
311.2		Lyndsey Lindsay		Other	Please leave a bit of the character and charm of Arrowtown.	Accept		I consider the rule framework is conservative enough to maintain the character and values of the zone
560.3		Spruce Grove Trust		Not Stated	That 'complying' subdivision within the Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone provisions are processed as a controlled activity consent, as per the Operative District Plan provisions.		Transferred to Hearing Stream Subdivision and Development	
752.8		Michael Farrier		Not Stated	Provision for resident parking only in the Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone.		Out of scope outside TLA/DP function	The ARHMZ is not on formed and in service roads.
752.12				Not Stated	The use of low maintenance natural timber finishes shall be permitted in the Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone.		Out of scope not within Stage 1 of the PDP	defer to Variation 1 ADG
223.2		Sam Gent	10.1 Zone Purpose	Oppose	Opposes the provision.	Reject		
276.2		Jane Hazlett	10.1 Zone Purpose	Support	Supports the provision.	Accept		
524.31		Ministry of Education	10.2.3Objective 3	Support	Retain	Accept		
524.32		Ministry of Education	10.2.3.1	Support	Retain	Accept		
223.3		Sam Gent	10.3 Other Provisions and Rules	Oppose	Opposes the provision.	Reject		
217.18		Jay Berriman	10.4 Rules -Activities	Support	There needs to be very tight controls over Arrowtown Town Planning	Accept		I consider the rule framework is conservative enough to maintain the character and values of the zone
223.4		Sam Gent	10.4 Rules -Activities	Oppose	Opposes the provision.	Reject		
383.78		Queenstown Lakes District Council	10.4 Rules -Activities	Other	Amend to delete "residential flat"	Accept		
752.11		Michael Farrier	10.4 Rules -Activities	Not Stated	The installation of photo-voltaic panels and roofing profiles suitable for photo-voltaic laminates shall be a permitted activity under the plan in the Arrowtown Residential Historic Management Zone.	Reject		The location of these installations needs to be assessed in terms of effect on character and heritage and are excluded as permitted activities in 30.4.2
752.9		Michael Farrier	10.4.4	Not Stated	Colour in Clause 10.4.4 be defined by quoting international colour code colours or removed from the plan.	Reject		
752.10		Michael Farrier	10.4.4	Not Stated	Fencing above 1.2m in Clause 10.4.4 is referred to as the street boundary line fencing above 1.2m.	Reject		Reject suggestion in order to keep consistency with Arrowtown Design Guidelines 2016.
524.33		Ministry of Education	10.4.11	Other	Support in part Delete Rule 10.4.11	Reject		10.4.11 related to Community activities within the ARHMZ and 10.4.17 is for community activities within the Transition Zone
438.21		New Zealand Fire Service	10.4.17	Support	Retain 10.4.17 as notified	Accept		
524.34		Ministry of Education	10.4.17	Other	Support in part Modify Rule 10.4.17: "Community Activities and facilities ."	Reject		There is no Community Facility subzone in the ARHMZ and therefore not necessary
223.5		Sam Gent	10.5 Rules – Standards for Activities	Oppose	Opposes the provision.	Reject		
438.22		New Zealand Fire Service	10.5 Rules – Standards for Activities	Other	Requests amendments to 10.5.1, 10.5.3 and 10.5.4 to exempt fire stations or fire station towers. 10.5.1 - Amend to state: <u>Exemption: Fire station towers are exempt from this rule</u> 10.5.3 - Amend to state: <u>Exemption: Fire stations are exempt from this rule</u> 10.5.4 - Amend to state: <u>Exemption: Fire stations are exempt from this rule</u>	Reject		Issue 2 s42a
560.1		Spruce Grove Trust		Other	The PDP is amended so that the properties located to the south of Arrow Lane, specifically the land bound by Berkshire Street, Arrow Lane and Wiltshire Street, are contained in the new Arrow Lane ATCTO.		Transferred to the hearing on mapping	
836.8		Arcadian Triangle Limited	Minor Alterations and Additions to a Building	Not Stated	Definitions - Minor Alterations and Additions to a Building Issue: (a) This submission point is lodged to enable this definition to be reconsidered. The following points are made: (i) Are there any other kinds of timber apart from "natural... timber", and if there are, why are they excluded? (ii) Why is there any concern about the material (or colour) of an exterior deck? (iii) Many natural timbered decks start a dark colour but then bleach very pale over time. There are clear stains intended to maintain a darker colour. Why does the definition allow dark stains but not allow clear stains? (iv) Why does the definition exclude modern products which are not timber but are designed and intended to look like timber (and last longer, without warping)? (v) Why is it necessary to say that a deck must comply with applicable rules and standards for activities and not apply the same comment to other external alterations referred to in the other two bullet points? (the point being that the second sentence of the first bullet point is unnecessary). (vi) In the third bullet point, the third use of the word "materials" makes a nonsense of the bullet point.	Accept in Part		
752.2		Michael Farrier	New def: character of Arrowtown	Not Stated	The meaning of character of Arrowtown is fully defined.	Reject		