

## Morgan Sandeman

---

**From:** Nigel Sadlier <n.a.sadlier@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, 21 September 2016 10:44 PM  
**To:** DP Hearings  
**Subject:** Submitter 68. Notice of Hearing: Chapters 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11 Residential.

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

**Categories:** Awaiting Response

Dear QLDC,

I wish to advise that we do not wish to appear at the Hearing on Chapters 7, 8, 9, 10 & 11 residential in relation to our submission (Submitter 68).

I also wish to advise that we agree with the recommendations made in the section 42A Hearing Report by Kimberley Anne Banks.

Specifically, in relation to our submission Kimberley recommends the following:

para 9.4:

*"I therefore consider it unnecessary to raise permitted heights to 15m. I recommend however that 15m (four storeys) be applied as a RD height limit, with a NC status for anything above this height. A 15m RD height rule will enable greater opportunities for larger developments (more than 4 units) on larger sites, noting that recession planes will still provide a level of control to ensure a sensitive built form."*

We expect that RD status would include affected party approval as a key matter of restricted discretion.

para 9.16:

*"Therefore I do not recommend increasing the permitted height for sloping sites, as I note that permitted development can only occur for three units or less regardless. Unintended consequences may result for 3 units or less if heights are increased, as on smaller sites it may incentivise such built form as that shown by Scenario 7."*

We would like the above email to be tabled in relation to our submission on this Hearing Matter.

Sincerely,

Nigel Sadlier