



FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION

IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION/S
ON A PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE



Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991 – as amended 30 August 2010



TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council



YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email** and **phone**.

Name: Willowridge Developments Limited

Phone Numbers: Work: 034749911

Home:

Mobile: 021969152

Email Address: alison@willowridge.co.nz

Postal Address: PO Box 170, Dunedin

Post code:
9054



THIS IS A FURTHER SUBMISSION // In support of (or in opposition to) a submission on the following Plan Change:

In support of a submission

I AM

☐

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

☒

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.
In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

☐

The local authority for the relevant area.



I SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) THE SUBMISSION OF // Name the original submitter and submission number.

See attached



THE PARTICULAR PARTS OF THE SUBMISSION I SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) ARE // Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal.

See attached



THE REASONS FOR MY SUPPORT (OR OPPOSITION) ARE //

See attached



I SEEK THAT THE WHOLE OR PART [DESCRIBE PART] OF THE SUBMISSION BE ALLOWED, OR DISALLOWED // Give precise details.

See attached

☐ **DO/~~DO NOT~~**

wish to be heard in support of my submission.

☐ **WILL/~~WILL NOT~~**

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

SIGNATURE

Signature (to be signed for or on behalf of submitter) **

Date 19/3/2018

*** If this form is being completed on-line you may not be able, or required, to sign this form.*

NOTE TO PERSON MAKING FURTHER SUBMISSION

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after making the further submission to the Local Authority.



Further Submissions on Private Plan Change 53 (Northlake) by Willowridge Developments Limited

19th March 2018

Submission Number	Submitter	Support/ Oppose	Relevant Part of Submission	Reasons for Support/Opposition	Decision Sought
02.1	Gary Tate (gary@latlink.co.nz)	Support	The submission to reject the rule to increase the maximum floor area of retail activities to 2,500m ² .	Willowridge agrees with the submitted that there is already sufficient zoned areas in Wanaka for retail activity. Willowridge considers that the plan change over-states the demand for retail floorspace at Northlake, which has the potential to undermine already zoned areas such as the CBD and Three Parks Commercial Core.	That the submission is allowed.
03.1	Stephen Popperwell (stevetrish@xtra.co.nz)	Support	The submission to reject the plan change.	The submitted considers there is no need for a supermarket at Northlake. Willowridge supports this submission and considers there is insufficient justification for a supermarket of the size proposed through the Plan Change.	That the submission is allowed insofar as it relates to the increased retail floor space.
09.1	Peter Eastwood (peteeastwood@gmail.com)	Support	The submission to restrict commercial activities to a small convenience shop for the Northlake Village Centre.	Willowridge considers the demand for retail floorspace at Northlake has been over-stated in the plan change documentation. Willowridge agrees that a small convenience store, as currently provided for, is most appropriate for Northlake.	That the submission to restrict the commercial activities is allowed.
11.1	Exclusive Developments Limited (hello@hikuwai.co)	Support	The submission objecting to increase the retail floor area.	Willowridge considers that the plan change over-states the demand for retail floorspace at Northlake, which has the potential to undermine already zoned	That the submission is allowed insofar as it relates to the increase in retail floorspace.

	m)			areas such as the CBD and Three Parks Commercial Core.	
12.4	Lindsey Turner and Andrew Thompson (lindseyturner65@gmail.com)	Support	The submission that any supermarket and commercial activity is rejected until it can be shown that there is demand.	Willowridge considers the demand for retail floorspace at Northlake has been over-stated in the plan change documentation.	That the submission is allowed insofar as it relates to the increase in retail floorspace.