



# FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON A PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE



Clause 6 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991 – as amended 30 August 2010

**TO** // Queenstown Lakes District Council

**YOUR DETAILS** // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone

Name: **HIL + MARIO KIESOW**

Phone Numbers: Work:

Home: **03443 9384**

Mobile: **021 2880 447**

Email Address: **hilstappet@me.com**

Postal Address: **19 PRINGLES CREEK RD, RD2  
WANAKA**

Post code:

**9382**

**PLAN CHANGE** // To which this submission relates to:

**PLAN CHANGE 52  
AT CARDRONA STATION**

I ~~gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.~~

\* **am I we are**

\*\* directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

~~(a) adversely affects the environment; and~~

~~(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.~~

\* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

\*\* Select one.

**SPECIFIC PROVISIONS** // Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

**MY SUBMISSION IS**

//

Include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or with to have them amended; and the reasons for your views.

see attachment / email

**I SEEK THE FOLLOWING FROM THE LOCAL AUTHORITY**

//

Give precise details:

\* In general, an interactive PDF form would make writing a submission a lot easier

I

wish to be heard in support of my submission.

I

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

**SIGNATURE**

Signature (to be signed for or on behalf of submitter) \*\*

Date

22 March 17

\*\* If this form is being completed on-line you may not be able, or required, to sign this form.

MCS Plan change 52 – submission by Hil & Mario Kiesow

In general we do support this plan change as we see it as an improvement to the current zoning.

The implementation of a golf course will make the development more attractive and together with the hotel complex it will give visitors to the Cardrona valley more reasons to stay throughout the year. It will also offer precious employment opportunities for the Cardrona community plus much needed infrastructure solutions for the Cardrona valley re sewage and water.

However, as direct neighbours to the south of the development we would like to list a few points that we think are crucial to be included into the new zone rules:

1. Include the requirement for the 100 metres setback from our subdivision on Pringles Creek Rd and Gin & Raspberry Lane as a zone rule and not only as a covenant to avoid any adverse visual impact for the property owners now and in the future.
2. Re zone 6 "commonage": Due to the proximity to our subdivision we would like a zone rule included that prevents development of that zone, even at a later stage. Especially a sports field does no longer make sense and would moreover create an adverse effect in terms of light and noise pollution for us residents on Pringles Creek Rd and Gin & Raspberry Lane.
3. Access to Mount Cardrona Station: Include a zone rule that prevents vehicle access to Mount Cardrona Station from Pringles Creek Rd / Gin & Raspberry Lane. The paper road on Mount Cardrona Station and adjoining land should be for walking access only with no vehicle access or car parks available at the Pringles Creek Rd / Gin & Raspberry Lane end. However, we would like to see our access to the walkways through the MCS heritage area secured.
4. Water supply: The establishment and maintenance of the golf course will require a lot more water. The Pringles Creek / Gin & Raspberry Lane subdivision relies on the water supply from the Pringles Creek and Mount Cardrona Station has got water rights from the Pringles Creek, as well. We are aware that there is a minimum flow rule established for the Pringles Creek but we still would like to see confirmed that our subdivision has got its water supply guaranteed. Plus, we would like to have the option to connect to the Mount Cardrona Station water scheme at some stage in the future, should we wish to do so.
5. Light pollution: a development of this scale will bring light pollution with it and have a negative impact on the beautiful night sky in the Cardrona Valley. This night sky is to be protected. Therefore, we believe that a zone rule should be included that all light sources need to face downwards (comparable to the lighting concept in Lake Tekapo)