

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS REQUESTED FOR PRIVATE PLAN CHANGE 51 PENINSULA BAY NORTH

Including Further Submissions
July 2016

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/01	Richard and Katherine Geeves	Oppose	Not consider any encroachment into untouched lakeside land as part of any residential expansion in/ around Wanaka
FS51/206-2	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission. Approve the plan change.
51/02	Raewyn Calhaem	Oppose	Reject the Plan Change in its entirety
FS51/206-3	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission. Approve the plan change.
51/03	Raymi Hurtado Stuart	Oppose	Turn these areas into protected public parks and oppose the development of this 6 ha of land
51/04	Karen Eadie	Oppose	Not approve the proposed Plan Change Require the developer to abide by the original conditions of consent
51/05	Joanna Ashe	Oppose	Preserve the ONL areas and require Infinity to respect their previous engagement to preserve Lot 920 DP 486039 as a buffer between development and the lake
51/06	P Marasti	Oppose	Preserve the ONL areas and require Infinity to respect their previous engagement to preserve Lot 920 DP 486039 as a buffer between development and the lake
51/07	Andrew Cornish	Oppose	That council look at the long term and realise that mountain biking brings visitors to towns.
51/08	Eddie Spearing	Oppose	Reduce the area of land being requested to be rezoned to exclude any area already identified in Plan Change 15 (in order to protect the whole section overlooking the lake) and make the whole north end of Peninsula bay a reserve to stop
51/09	Jen Cornish	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change 51 and not allow it
51/10	Jamie Greenway	Oppose	Say "no" to the developer, grow the asset, and listen to the community
51/11	Oliver William Young	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 due to the lack of integrity of the developer and adverse effects on an area of natural beauty
FS51/206-4	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission. Approve the plan change.
51/12/01	Julian Hayworth	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 in its entirety and the POS zone and retain its boundaries as per the Operative District Plan
FS51/207-1	Richard Leslie Hutchison		Support the submission in totality. The whole plan change should be dissallowed
FS51/206-5	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission.
51/12/02	Julian Hayworth	Oppose	Resolve the issue as part of the Proposed District Plan process
FS51/207-2	Richard Leslie Hutchison		Support the submission in totality. The whole plan change should be dissallowed
FS51/206-6	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/13	Krystyna Glavinovic	Oppose	Deny the Plan Change and retain the open space zoning
51/14	Caroline Cavanagh	Oppose	Retain the open space zoning of the Sticky Forest area
51/15	Richard Johnston	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51
51/16	John Cruickshank	Oppose	Against Plan Change 51
51/17	David Jongsma	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 and leave as open space; Council could purchase and use as reserve land
51/18	Paul Symon	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/19	Rachael Moore	Oppose	Deny the Plan Change
51/20	Dyanna Smith	Oppose	Stop the changes to the original plan; support the original plan
51/21	Jervis Turner	Oppose	Stop the change
51/22	Lisa Tsai	Oppose	Stop the area proposed from becoming a Low density residential area and to preserve the trails and land for all to enjoy.
51/23	Chris Robertson	Oppose	Prevent the re-zoning to residential; retain the natural habitat; and retain the area for all to enjoy
51/24	Michelle Harrison	Oppose	Prevent the area becoming low density housing and preserve the tracks and landscape
51/25	Dr W A N Brown	Oppose	Decline the application in its entirety and retain the original residential boundary
51/26	Jason Locker	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/27	Dan O'Regan	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/28	Randolf and Muriel A Holst	Oppose	Disallow Plan Change 51
51/29	Jolene Goodwin	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/30	Mike Hohnston	Oppose	Proceed with option 2, which is no change to the existing plan
51/31	Geoffrey Marks	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51
51/32	Sally Law	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/33	Nicola McGregor	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/34	Matthew Quirk	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/35	Barbara Blatt	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/36	Janet Young	Oppose	Do not amend the plan as per Plan Change 51 but, rather; retain the open space zoning; vest the land as per the previous agreement; and retain as reserve for the community
51/37	Sandra McTavish	Oppose	Opposed to the Plan Change
51/38	Raymong Miller	Oppose	Decline the Plan Change in its entirety.
51/39	Suze Kelly	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/40	Amy Hall	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/41	Jenny Grace	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/42	Tiffany Shervell	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/43	Danielle Ozich	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/44	Guy Cotter	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/45	William Lauren Ogle	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/46	Caroline Blaikie	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/47	Aaron Whitehead	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/48	Steven Moffat	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/49	Franck Bocamy	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/50	Tom Akass	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/51	Calvin Lee	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/52	Gus Leen	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/53	Niall Sutherland	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/54	Nicola Campbell	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/55	Marcel Hagener	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/56	Matt Beazley	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/57	Katharine Eustace	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/58	Rochelle Richardson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/59	Simone Maier	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/60	Jessica Griffin	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/61	Simon Bowden	Oppose	Protect, increase, and maintain the tracks
51/62	Louise Carney	Oppose	Opposed to the building of houses as intrudes on the recreation reserve.
51/63	Mark Goodwin	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/64	Kirsten Rabe	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/65	Julie Newell	Oppose	Object to the change of land in sticky forest and request it remain in public ownership and not sold off
51/66	Matthew Davidson	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/67	Shaun Baker	Oppose	Refuse Plan Change 51
51/68	Sarah Berger	Oppose	Refuse Plan Change 51
51/69	Mary Russell	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51
51/70	Ella Hardman	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety and uphold the Open space provisions.
51/71	Adrian Knowles	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/72	T Dennis	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/73	Jo Guest	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/74	Perryn Lydford	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/75	Cathy Price	Oppose	Not authorise any building in the open space zone through Plan Change 51
51/76	Kim Merran Onesti	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/77	Yvonne Maria Laukens	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/78	Sarah Ferguson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/79	Christien Smeja	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/80	Jody Blatchley	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/81	Bruno Geldermans	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/82	Jessica Flair Bradbury-McKay	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/83	Greg Inwood	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/84	Bill Brooker	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/85	Grant Fyfe	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/86	Fiona Blair	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/87	Elaine Smith	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/88	Jenn Shelton	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/89	Rebecca Bredehoft	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/90	Cade Palmer	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/91	Lucy Waters	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/92	Veronica Howes	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/93	Wayne Casey	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/94	Julie Tessier	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/95	Bastien Tessier	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/96	Mark Hook	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/97	Angela Hook	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/98	Reece Cameron	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/99	Richard R Jeans	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/100	Pip Clearwater	Oppose	Reject the Plan Change in its entirety; uphold the open space zone provisions; and vest the land in council as reserve.
51/101	l Tisashi Sasaki	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/102	Eliska Lewis	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51
51/103	Matthew Lewis	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51
51/104	Steve Schikker	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51, in its entirety
51/105	Chris Chalk	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/106	Toby Hague	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/107	Alycia Walker	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/108	Martin Galley	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/109	Alex Poyser	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/110	Gabrielle Vermunt	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/111	Daniel McKenzie	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/112	Wayne Moss	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/113	Brent Millar	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/114	Gwen Hendry	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/115	Willem Groenen	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/116	Jason Kum	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/117	Tasha Lahood	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/118	Nichola Shaw	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/119	Peter Thomson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/120	Cassy Phatouros	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/121	Jo-Anne Stock	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/122	Amanda Tipton	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/123	Gordon Path	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/124	Harriet Gibson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/125	Louise Brown	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/126	Meghan Merryfield	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/127	Sam Chapman-Molloy	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/128	Jason Woolf	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/129	Stuart McCann	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/130	Kerri Hillis	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/131	Kristal Tall	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/132	Emily Wilson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/133	Diana Schikker	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 in its entirety
51/134	Chris Tubb	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/135	Tony Culshaw	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/136	Olly Lewis	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/137	Tom Dupont	Support	Support the subdivision of the land as proposed
FS51/206-1	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission. Approve the plan change.
51/138	Richard Birkby	Oppose	Do not approve Plan Change 51
51/139	Karen Birkby	Oppose	Not allow Plan Change 51.
51/140	Jenny Ferguson	Oppose	Stop proposed Plan Change to low density housing
51/141	David Balls	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/142	Matt Carr	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/143	Gwilym Griffith-Jones	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/144	Merle Schluter	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/145	Julia Le	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/146	Lori Balls	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/147	Bridget Irving - Gallaway Cook Allan	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 and retain the operative plan.
FS51/206-7	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission. Approve the plan change.
51/148	Matt Proctor	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/149	Bridget Irving - Gallaway Cook Allan	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 and retain the operative plan.
FS51/206-8	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Oppose this submission.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/150	Philip Vitesnik	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/151	Jason and Samantha Parrant	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/152	Dr Ella Lawton	Other	Should the Plan Change proceed, in order to be successful points 1 - 10 of the submission should be incorporated into the proposed plan/ decision, Points 1 - 10 relate to ensuring that any changes to the recreational area and track network
FS51/206-9	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/153	John Wellington	Oppose	Decline Plan Change 51 in its entirety and retain the operative open space zone provisions.
51/154	Scott Edgar - Southern Land	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety
51/155/01	Craig Barr	Other	Reject Plan Change 51 unless the Plan change satisfactorily a) addresses the impact on the future treatment of staged subdivisions and vesting of assets and b) ensures the open space provided remains commensurate with the scale of the
FS51/206-10	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/155/02	Craig Barr	Other	Reject Plan Change 51 unless the Plan change satisfactorily resolves concerns regarding a) whether the LDR zoning is appropriate given the landscape sensitivity and proposed management of such effects; b) the effectiveness of the
FS51/206-11	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/155/03	Craig Barr	Other	Apply the LDR zoning only to those areas that are suited to this form of development without the need for bespoke provisions, in order to avoid further extending the already lengthy rules
FS51/206-12	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/155/04	Craig Barr	Other	Reject Plan Change 51 unless the Plan change satisfactorily resolves concerns regarding a) whether the northern-most lots are located such that the remaining open space is able to provide viable and practical trails (the panel may wish to
FS51/206-13	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/155/05	Craig Barr	Other	Reject Plan Change 51 unless the panel is assured that the proposed planting and ecological restoration a) will provide indigenous biodiversity benefits that compensate or offset the proposed loss of indigenous vegetation, and b) is viable,
FS51/206-14	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/155/06	Craig Barr	Other	Reject Plan Change 51 unless the panel is assured that a) the effects of the proposed earthworks associated with the subdivision and establishment of the building platforms are acceptable in terms of adverse effects on the ONL, and b) the
FS51/206-15	Peninsula Bay Joint Venture		Support this submission in part/ oppose this submission in part. Approve the plan change
51/156	Jodie Rainsford	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/157	Mark Strang	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/158	Simon Williams	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 and suggest to the applicant that it carry out regeneration of this land with native trees as a way of apologising for taking up the community's time with this process
51/159	Quintin Smith	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/160	Simon and Vickie Moses	Oppose	Reject Plan Change 51 in its entirety, uphold the Open space provisions, and vest the land in council as initially intended
51/161	Linda Baker	Oppose	Refuse Plan Change 51
51/162/01	Denise Bruns	Oppose in part	Not approve the proposed zone change and scheme plan
51/162/02	Denise Bruns	Oppose in part	That only the zoning of proposed lots 7 -12 be changed to allow residential development and strict controls added to keep all buildings below the ridgeline and strict controls/ checks imposed to ensure topsoil and other items are not stored in any
51/162/03	Denise Bruns	Oppose in part	Impose strict controls on what plants are approved for any re-planting/ buffer areas (i.e. allowing only those that would occur naturally in this area).
51/162/04	Denise Bruns	Oppose in part	Vest all remaining ONL and Open space land with the Queenstown Lakes District Council to ensure its protection in perpetuity
51/162/05	Denise Bruns	Oppose in part	Complete the original carparks and walking track access as per the original 2004/5 subdivisions
51/163	Seb Thursby	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/164	Tobias Wadeson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/165	Bryony Illsley	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/166	Richard Beven	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/167	Alex Kingsley	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/168	Corban Taylor	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/169	Kyle Taylor	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/170	John-Jo Ritson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/171	Emily Warne	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/172	Calum O'Dwyer	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/173	Edd Cole	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/174	Scott Sharpe	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/175	Jess Brown	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/176	Krystle Theunissen	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/177	Natasha Dawes	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/178	Andrea Beryl	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/179	Christina Brockie	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/180	Charles Burford	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/181	Ling Wei Chiang	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/182	Georgina Pearson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/183	Zeph Wadsworth	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/184	Jane Zwerrenz	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/185	Michelle Chave	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/186	H Gilbertson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/187	Whitney Dagg	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/188	Kimberley Rissman	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/189	Leah Miller	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/190	Laura Davidson	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/191	L Barrett	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/192	Tanja Schwindt	Oppose	Not authorise Plan Change 51; now or in the future
51/193	Doug Hamilton	Oppose	Deny Plan Change 51

Original Submission Number	Name	Position	Decision Requested
51/194	Hayley Furze	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/195	Kate Schmelz	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/196	Andrew Cochrane	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/197	Elliot Ryan	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/198	Brett Jenkins	Oppose	Stop the proposed area from becoming an area of housing and leave the land and tracks available for all to use
51/199	Joanna Williams	Oppose	Keep this part of the tracks in tack (sic).
51/200	S Dickinson	Oppose	Stop the proposed area from becoming low density housing and leave the land and tracks for all to enjoy.
51/201	Margrethe Helles and Bruce Dowrich	Oppose	Do not allow Plan Change 51 but, instead, protect the area.
51/202	Tess and Paul Hellebrekers	Oppose	Decline the Plan Change and vest the open space as reserve
51/203	Robert Palmer and Judy Clarke	Oppose	Oppose Plan Change 51
51/204	Andrea Murray	Oppose	Keep the open space land for future generations
51/205	Bike Wanaka Inc.	Oppose	Reject the Plan Change in its entirety and vest the land in Council as initially intended