



FORM 6: FURTHER SUBMISSION

IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, SUBMISSION
ON A PUBLICLY NOTIFIED PLAN CHANGE



Clause 8 of First Schedule, Resource Management Act 1991 – as amended 30 August 2010



TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council



YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email** and **phone**.

Name: Ian Percy and Fiona Aitken, Ian Percy and Fiona Aitken Family Trust, Aitken's Folly Vineyard

Phone Numbers: Work: 03 443 0252

Home:

Mobile: 021 635 984

Email Address: jan.caunter@gallwawaycookallan.co.nz

Postal Address: Jan Caunter
Gallaway Cook Allan
PO Box 450

Post code:
9343



THIS IS A FURTHER SUBMISSION // In support of (or in opposition to) a submission on the following Plan Change:

see attached

I AM

☐

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
In this case, also specify the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

☒

A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest the general public has.
In this case, also explain the grounds for saying that you come within this category; or

☐

The local authority for the relevant area.



I SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) THE SUBMISSION OF // Name the original submitter and submission number.

SEE ATTACHED



THE PARTICULAR PARTS OF THE SUBMISSION I SUPPORT (OR OPPOSE) ARE // Clearly indicate which parts of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal.

SEE ATTACHED



THE REASONS FOR MY SUPPORT (OR OPPOSITION) ARE //

SEE ATTACHED.

OUR INTEREST IN THE PLAN CHANGE IS GREATER THAN THE INTEREST THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAS BECAUSE OUR LAND AND BUSINESS IS DIRECTLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THE PLAN CHANGE. THE DETAILS OF THAT INTEREST AND THE EFFECTS OF THE PLAN CHANGE ARE SET OUT IN OUR ORIGINAL SUBMISSION 46/5. THE RELIEF SOUGHT BY THE ORIGINAL SUBMITTERS TO WHICH THESE FURTHER SUBMISSIONS RELATE ALSO ADVERSELY AFFECTS OUR LAND AND BUSINESS.



**I SEEK THAT THE WHOLE OR PART [DESCRIBE PART] OF THE
SUBMISSION BE ALLOWED, OR DISALLOWED // Give precise details.**

SEE ATTACHED

I DO

wish to be heard in support of my submission.

I WILL

consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.



SIGNATURE

Signature (to be signed for or on behalf of submitter) **

Date 28 May 2015

*** If this form is being completed on-line you may not be able, or required, to sign this form.*

NOTE TO PERSON MAKING FURTHER SUBMISSION

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within five working days after making the further submission to the Local Authority.



FURTHER SUBMISSION ON BEHALF OF IAN PERCY AND FIONA AITKEN, IAN PERCY AND FIONA AITKEN FAMILY TRUST, AITKEN'S FOLLY VINEYARD			
Submitter # and name	Support, Oppose or Neutral	Further submission	
46/1 Grandview Developments Limited	Oppose	For the reasons stated in our original submission 46/5, particularly relating to reverse sensitivity and roading. The activities proposed by PC46 will directly and adversely affect our property and the business undertaken on the property.	
46/2 Wanaka Hardware and Building Supplies Limited (Mark Watson)	Oppose	For the reasons stated in our original submission 46/5, particularly relating to reverse sensitivity and roading. The activities proposed by PC46 will directly and adversely affect our property and the business undertaken on the property.	
46/3 Class Harvest Centre-Otago	Oppose	For the reasons stated in our original submission 46/5, particularly relating to reverse sensitivity and roading. The activities proposed by PC46 will directly and adversely affect our property and the business undertaken on the property.	
46/4 Daphne and Jim Ledgerwood	Oppose	For the reasons stated in our original submission 46/5, particularly relating to reverse sensitivity and roading. The activities proposed by PC46 will directly and adversely affect our property and the business undertaken on the property.	
46/6 Raelene and Peter Shanks	Support	We support the concerns of these submitters, which are similar to our own, particularly those relating to impacts on amenity, loss of views, roading and residential expansion. We support the request for the plan change to be declined in its entirety.	
46/7 Peter Gordon	Neutral	The request by this submitter to include his land within plan change 46 demonstrates why it is more appropriate to consider any rezoning of land in this part of Wanaka through the district plan review, which will consider all development in the whole. Any further residential growth in this area raises similar reverse sensitivity, amenity and roading issues as canvassed in our original submission 46/5.	
46/8 Queenstown Lakes District Council	Conditional Support	We conditionally support the Council's submission on the basis that the road safety issues are of very real concern to us, as is any intersection design and location. If the plan change is to proceed, the details and design of any roading, and the costs of that roading, should form part of the plan change process and be assessed and finalised through that process..	

46/9 Morgan Engineering Limited	Oppose	For the reasons stated in our original submission 46/5, particularly relating to reverse sensitivity and roading. The activities proposed by PC46 will directly and adversely affect our property and the business undertaken on the property.
---------------------------------	--------	--