Memo



To:	Blair Devlin, Senior Policy Analyst
From:	Briana Pringle, District Forester
Date:	Wednesday, 23 January 2013
cc:	
Subject:	Review of the Queenstown Lakes District Plan including rules relating to forestry and wilding spread.

Dear Blair.

In response to your memo dated 29 November 2012;

I have reviewed the list of tree species and support retaining the tree types identified in the list below as (a) - (h), and (k) - (m), as wilding spread from these trees is well documented and can have an adverse effect on the environment of the Queenstown Lakes District.

I have also reconciled this list against the list in the 'Wilding Conifers in New Zealand: Beyond the status report' commissioned by MAF and have added the following species (i) and (j) contained in this report.

Item (i) on the list is Mountain Pine/Dwarf Mountain pine (Pinus mugo), which is spreading up in Muddy Creek and poses a wilding threat to the surrounding landscape. Item (j) on the list, Maritime pine (Pinus pinaster) is also recorded in the National Status report as having moderate spreading vigour recorded within New Zealand.

- (a) Contorta or lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta)
- (b) Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris)
- (c) Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii)
- (d) European larch (Larix decidua)
- (e) Corsican pine (Pinus nigra)
- (f) Radiata Pine (Pinus radiata)
- (g) Bishops pine (Pinus muricate)
- (h) Ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa)
- (i) Mountain Pine/Dwarf Mountain pine (Pinus mugo)
- (j) Maritime pine (Pinus pinaster)
- (k) Sycamore
- (I) Hawthorn
- (m) Boxthorn

I recommend removing from the list the following species from the list:

- All Eucalyptus varieties Eucalyptus have low spreading vigour and are not a wilding issue in the Lakes District, Eucalyptus should be allowed as a plantation species within the Wakatipu.
- Gorse this is not a wilding tree and is dealt with under the Otago Regional Council (ORC) Regional Pest Management Strategy.

- (b) Volume and disposal of hazardous waste, and hazardous substances
- (c) Production of noise and odour:
- (d) Associated earthworks, and potential effects on water quality
- (1) In considering whether the forestry activity will adversely affect the ecological values of the surrounding environment, the following matters shall be taken into account:
 - (a) Current and future demand on water resources.

Under assessment matter 5.4.2.3 xxx Site Standard – Planting of tree species with wilding potential—the following will not be addressed under the DSS tool.

- 2. In considering whether the proposed planting will cause adverse effects on landscape values, the following matters shall be taken into account:
 - (a) The existing character of the surrounding landscape, having particular regard to whether it has an open character at present;
 - (b) The potential for the planting to block important views from roads and other public places;
 - (c) The proximity of the planting to neighbouring properties, and the potential to shade and/or block views from neighbouring residences

In terms of confirmation that wilding spread will arise from new planation forestry, below are a couple of examples of wilding spread from mature trees, photos and map examples attached as **Appendix B**.

- Long Gully spread past 1.5 km
- Lower Shotover spread past 2.5 km

Yours sincerely

Briana Pringle District Forester

Queenstown Lakes District Council



A

(Select score applicable for each of the five categories)

Version_07011; Issue date: June 2012

1. **SPECIES – GROWTH** (score for one species only)

Spi	reading vigour varies with species	
AAA	Redwoods, Leyland cypresses, cedars and spruces (very low risk - no need to proceed further) Radiata (P. radiata) and ponderosa (P. ponderosa) pine, Lawsons cypress (C. lawsoniana) Muricata (P. muricata) and maritime (P. pinaster) pine and larches (Larix spp)	0 1 2 2
7	Corsican (P. nigra) and mountain/dwarf mountain (P. uncinata/mugo) pine	3 4 ^g
\	Douglas-fir ^g (Ps. menziesii), Scots pine (P. sylvestris)	
	Lodgepole/contorta pine (P. contorta)	5
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5) here	
	2. SPECIES – PALATABILITY	
Pal	atability varies with species	
	Radiata, maritime and ponderosa pine	1
	Lodgepole and muricata pine and European larch	2
>	Scots and mountain/dwarf mountain pine and Douglas-fir	3
	Corsican pine	4
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
	3. SITING OF NEW PLANTING c, d	
Tre	ees are located on	
	Sites well sheltered from prevalent and strong winds	0
\triangleright	Flat sites (<10°), partially exposed to strong/prevalent winds	1
	Lea slopes where strong eddy gusts are likely	2
	Flat sites (<10°), fully exposed to strong/prevalent winds	3
	Either elevated 'take-off' sites, (ridge-tops, or base of exposed slopes >10°)	4
	or sloping land, fully exposed to strong/prevalent winds	
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
	4. DOWNWIND LANDUSE – GRAZING	
Wi	lding establishment influenced by grazing (particularly with sheep)	
	Intensive grazing on developed pasture	$0_{\rm q}$
	Regular mob stocking with sheep e	1 ^d
A	Semi-improved grazing (sheep/cattle)/ occasional mob stocking with sheep	2 ^d 3 ^d
A	Extensive grazing only ^e	4 ^d
	No grazing	4-
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	a
	5. DOWNWIND VEGETATION COVER (if Douglas-fir involved see g in Notes)	
	lding establishment influenced by competition from existing vegetation	- A
>	Plantation forest, developed pasture (intensive grazing)	0^{d}
A	Native forest ^h , shrubland/tussock/grassland with a continuous and dense vegetation cover	1 ^d 2 ^d
A	Forest/shrubland/tussock/grassland with few gaps Open forest and/or scattered patches of dense shrubland/tussock/grassland with many gaps	2 3 ^d
	Open slips/rockland and/or light, low-stature shrubland/tussock/grassland	4 ^d
	Open shps/rocktand and/or fight, low-stature shrubtand/tussock/grassiand	4
		1 1.
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	a
	TOTAL SCORE	
	(See Assessment below for interpre	etation)
	(See Assessment See Assessment) or many pre-	

	(Select score applicable for each of the six categories)	
٠.	Version_07011; Issue date: June 2012	
	SPECIES PROVIDING SEED SOURCE (score for one species only)	
	reading vigour varies with species	
^	Redwoods, Leyland cypresses, cedars and spruces	0
A	Radiata (<i>P. radiata</i>) and ponderosa (<i>P. ponderosa</i>) pine, Lawsons cypress (<i>C. lawsoniana</i>)	1
7	Muricata (P. muricata) and maritime (P. pinaster) pine and larches (Larix spp)	2 3
	Corsican (<i>P. nigra</i>) and mountain/dwarf mountain (<i>P. uncinata/mugo</i>) pine	3 4 ⁶
	Douglas-fir ⁶ (Ps. menziesii), Scots (P. sylvestris) pine	
	Lodgepole/contorta (P. contorta) pine	5
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
2).	SITING OF SOURCE TREES 2,3	
	urce trees are on	
\triangleright	Sites well sheltered from prevalent and strong winds	0
	Flat sites (<10°), partially exposed to strong/prevalent winds	1
	Lea slopes where strong eddy gusts are likely	2
	Flat sites (<10°), fully exposed to strong/prevalent winds	3
	Either elevated 'take-off' sites, (ridge-tops, or base of exposed slopes >10°)	4
	or sloping land, fully exposed to strong/prevalent winds	
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
3).	SITING OF SAMPLE SITE RELATIVE TO SOURCE TREES	
	cation relative to seed-dispersing winds	
\triangleright	Up-wind relative to prevalent or strong winds (If upwind and >1km distant - score 0)	1 (0)
\triangleright	Subject to cross-winds and/or wind-eddies relative to prevalent or strong winds	2
\triangleright	Down-wind relative to prevalent and strong winds (often from N and W)	3
	Enter score (0/1, 2 or 3) here	
4)	DISTANCE OF SAMPLE SITE FROM SOURCE TREES 3	
Sn:	read risk decreases with distance from seed source	
> >	Greater than 5km	0 3
\triangleright	1-5km	1
\triangleright	200m – 1km	3
\triangleright	0-200m	4
	Enter score (0, 1, 3 or 4) here	
5)	. GRAZING WITHIN SAMPLE AREA	
	lding establishment influenced by grazing (particularly with sheep)	
>	Intensive grazing on developed pasture	0
\triangleright	Regular mob stocking with sheep 4	1 4
\triangleright	Semi-improved grazing (sheep/cattle)/ occasional mob stocking with sheep	2
\triangleright	Extensive grazing only ⁵	3
	No grazing	4
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
6)	. VEGETATION OF SAMPLE SITE (if Douglas-fir involved see 6 below)	
	lding establishment influenced by competition from existing vegetation	
>	Developed pasture, rank grass, plantation forest (no gaps) 8	0
>	Native forest ⁸ , shrubland/tussock/grassland with a continuous and heavy vegetation cover	1
	Forest/shrubland/tussock/grassland with few gaps	2
	Open forest and/or scattered patches of dense shrubland/tussock/grassland with many gaps	3
	Open slips/rockland and/or light, low-stature shrubland/tussock/grassland	4
	-	
	Enter score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
	Elmer Score (0, 1, 2, 3 or 4) here	
	momit acops	
	TOTAL SCORE:	
	(See Assessment below for interpr	etation)

DSS 2. CALCULATING RISK OF WILDING TREE SPREAD INTO/WITHIN NEW SITES 1,7







